

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,310	07/23/2003	Norihiko Furuta	488-00058	8383	
7590 02/01/2005			EXAMINER		
Peter T. Holsen			NICHOLSON, ERIC K		
•	EALES, STARKE & SA	WALL, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1100			AKTONII	FAFER NUMBER	
100 East Wisconsin Avenue			3679		
Milwaukee W	1 53202-4178				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 ^			
J		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/625,310	FURUTA, NORIHI	КО			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eric K Nicholson	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	lovember 2004.					
-		s action is non-final.					
'=	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the	e merits is			
, ====	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.~						
Disposit	ion of Claims		•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
٠,ڪ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
ر٠٠	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			FR 1 121(d)			
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		•	• •			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			,			
·-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document)-(d) or (f).	·			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen				•			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-02-04</u> .	_	Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The amendment is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: In the specification page 6, line 31 "for a distance equal to". While the examiner agrees that the drawings provide basis for the socket fitting to be "longer than three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube" there appears to be no basis in the drawings or specification for the socket fitting to be of a length "equal to.....three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube". Further in claims 3 and 5 there is no basis for the socket fitting to extend "equal to" "an outer diameter of the corrugated metal tube.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections -35 USC § 112

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In amended claim 1 and new claim 4, "for a distance equal to" is considered to be new matter. While the examiner agrees that the drawings provide basis for the socket fitting to be "longer than three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube" there appears to be no basis in the drawings or specification for the socket fitting to be of a length

Art Unit: 3679

"equal to.....three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube". Further in claims 3 and 5 there is no basis for the socket fitting to extend "equal to" "an outer diameter of the corrugated metal tube.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 1,970,050 to Mathey. The coupling of Mathey as shown in figure 3 illustrates all the features of the present invention including hose body 11 having a corrugated metal tube 12 as an inner layer and an outer layer 11 circumscribing a radial outer side thereof. A connecting part 5 arranged on end portion of the hose. A rigid insert pipe 10 provided on the connecting part 5 wherein the insert pipe is inserted in an axial end portion of the hose body (see fig. 3) and secured to an end portion of the corrugated metal tube. A socket fitting 4 is fitted on end portion of the hose body securely compressed radially inward via nut and bolt 18,19 (page 1, lines 50-55) to be secured to an end portion of the hose body with the socket fitting extending longitudinally of the hose beyond an inserted end of the insert pipe in a direction away from an end of the hose body and extending longer than three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube. As to claims 3 and

Application/Control Number: 10/625,310

Art Unit: 3679

5, as can be see in fig. 3 the length of the socket fitting extends longer than an outer diameter of the corrugated metal tube. As to claims 2 and 6, as clearly shown in fig. 3 the rigid insert pipe 10 is formed integrally with the connecting part 5.

Page 4

Claims 1,2,4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 2,216,468 to Farrar. The coupling of Farra as shown in figure 2 illustrates all the features of the present invention including hose body 10 having a corrugated metal tube 20 as an inner layer and an outer layer 26 circumscribing a radial outer side thereof. A connecting part 14 arranged on end portion of the hose. A rigid insert pipe is provided as the end portion of the connecting part 5 wherein the insert pipe is inserted in an axial end portion of the hose body (see fig. 2) and secured to an end portion of the corrugated metal tube 18. A socket fitting 28 is fitted on end portion of the hose body securely compressed radially inward (page 2, lines 30-35 and compare figs. 5 and 2) to be secured to an end portion of the hose body with the socket fitting extending longitudinally of the hose beyond an inserted end of the insert pipe in a direction away from an end of the hose body and extending longer than three corrugation widths of the corrugated metal tube as shown in fig. 2. As to claims 2 and 6, as clearly shown in fig. 2 the rigid insert pipe end portion is formed integrally as the end of the connecting part 14.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3679

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the **patent 1,999,663 to Paige** which also illustrates the present invention with hose body 1 and 2, connecting part 13 having in insert pipe 16 as shown in fig. II and a socket fitting 10 which compresses the hose body and extends longer than three corrugations widths of the corrugated metal tube.

Applicant's remarks with regards to amended claims 1 and 2 and new claims 3-6 have been considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/625,310 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for

Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ekn

W@H

1-28-05

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3600